


SHARE THIS FREE PREVIEW FILE:
<http://cs2pr.us/VotRevPam.pdf>

COMMON SENSE II:

THE OVERDUE VOTER REVOLUTION
A POPULIST INDICTMENT OF THE DNC AND RNC



PAPERBACK COVER

CS II REFORMS: <http://cs2pr.us>

EMAIL: cs2@cs2pr.us

DO IT YOURSELF PRESS

USA

The author describes corruption in our elections and provides a populist voter pledge needed to commit to the proactive mass ballot access movement. Voters need to occupy elections to elect populist candidates. We are the 99.999%. Change is up to everyone. Together. No one can do it alone. It is up to you.

Occupy Open Clean Elections: The IVA is proposed to be a populist "nonpartisan" political structure organized on the computer, tablet and smart phone desktops of IVA participants to give our people a strong voice in public elections and in our governments. We will end the monopoly power of the corrupt bipartisan two-party system.

THIS PAMPHLET IS ABOUT YOUR POPULIST PLEDGE AND THE OVERDUE VOTER REVOLUTION CONDUCTED ON MILLIONS OF OUR COMPUTER, TABLET AND SMART PHONE DESKTOPS IN ALL FIFTY STATES. UP TO YOU AND ME FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS. OCCUPY ELECTIONS FOR THE 99.999%. MAIN STREET — NOT WALL STREET BILLIONAIRES!




The only IVA headquarters are located on local computer, tablet and smart phone desktops of IVA participants on the political precinct level in all fifty states. Millions of desktops. We are the 99.999%. Occupy elections.

ISBN 9781732521803



90000




9 781732 521803

Copyrighted Material

PAPERBACK REAR COVER TEXT

COMMON SENSE II:
THE OVERDUE VOTER REVOLUTION
A POPULIST INDICTMENT OF THE DNC AND RNC

FOR PREVIEW ONLY
NOT FOR SALE OR DISTRIBUTION
READ IT!
REVIEW IT!
PASS IT ON!



BY RICHARD L. STEVENSON

DON'T FIGHT THE SYSTEM. BE THE SYSTEM.
OCCUPY POLITICS FOR THE 99.999%
CHANGE IS UP TO EVERYONE. TOGETHER.
NO ONE CAN DO IT ALONE. IT IS UP TO YOU.

CS II REFORMS: <http://cs2pr.us>

EMAIL: cs2@cs2pr.us

DO IT YOURSELF PRESS

USA

POPULIST VOTER PLEDGE

I, _____ **PLEASE PRINT** _____, the undersigned **citizen** of the United States of America, do pledge with my fellow citizens to legally register and vote in all local, state, and national elections for the rest of my life. I consider the bipartisan power struggle between Democrats (**DNC**) and Republicans (**RNC**) a threat to the freedoms gained by the Declaration of Independence and later defined in the **Bill of Rights** under the Constitution of the United States of America. Special interest money donations to the **DNC and RNC** two-party monopoly rob our citizens of equal political representation within legislative bodies and executive branches at all levels of government. I must withdraw wholehearted support from all Democratic Party and Republican party establishment candidates. I pledge to help elect qualified **populist** non-partisan and minor party candidates to every local, state, and national public office in order to provide equal representation under the law to all living natural citizens. Fire establishment bipartisan incumbents. **OCCUPY ELECTIONS AND CONGRESS.**

My legal residence, Address _____, City _____, State _____, qualifies me to vote in precinct # _____, in _____ (City, Village, or Township) in the State of _____.

My pledge signed this _____ day of _____, _____, at (City) _____, (State) _____.

My Signature _____

Share this pledge. We need new political leaders free of party control. We need to circulate ballot access petitions to put more **populist** nonpartisan and minor party candidates on every ballot. Be proactive as a ballot access petition circulator and voter. Join other voters who sign the pledge. **Give journalists and your representatives a hard copy or eFile of your signed pledge.** We will vote incumbents out of office and elect new people who represent natural persons, not political parties or powerful special interests. We can have liberty and justice for all. **YOUR PLEDGE: <http://cs2pr.us/Populist Pledge.pdf>**

COMMENTS TO: COMMON SENSE II POLITICAL REFORMS

P.O. Box 123

Hillview, KY 40129-0123

EMAIL: cs2@cs2pr.us

CS II REFORMS: <http://cs2pr.us.html>

FREE

SHARE THIS PDF PREVIEW FILE:

<http://cs2pr.us/VotRevPam.pdf>

PREVIEW WEB PAGE:

<http://cs2pr.us/VotRevPamphlet.html>

NOT FOR SALE OR DISTRIBUTION

READ IT! REVIEW IT! PASS IT ON!

SHARE THE OVERDUE VOTER REVOLUTION

BUY THE PAMPHLET ON AMAZON:

Digital eBook: \$3.95

ISBN-13: 978-1-7325218-1-0

<https://www.amazon.com/dp/B07DS1W5H1>

Paperback: \$9.95

ISBN-13: 978-1-7325218-0-3

<https://www.amazon.com/dp/1732521808>

POPULIST VOTER PLEDGE ENDORSED BY CANDIDATE BELOW:

RICH STEVENSON, KY DISTRICT 03 CANDIDATE FOR U.S. CONGRESS.

CONTRIBUTE \$1 TO \$27 MICROPAYPAL — NEEDED TO WIN; Up to You; Ph. 502-873-5623.

WEB PAGES: <http://cs2pr.us/Rich> — **TOWN HALL ELECTIONS.**

Paid for by **CITIZENS FOR STEVENSON**; Campaign Treasurer, treasurer@cs2pr.us

THRO Ad, <http://cs2pr.us/THRO> — **CONGRESSIONAL TERM LIMITS**

PayPal: <http://cs2pr.us/Rich/FundRaising.html#PayPal>

Do It YOURSELF PRESS

P.O. Box 123

Hillview, KY 40129-0123

502-873-5623

DIYP@cs2pr.us

COMMON SENSE II:

THE OVERDUE VOTER REVOLUTION

A POPULIST INDICTMENT OF THE DNC AND RNC

© 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000 by Richard L. Stevenson

© 2002, 2004, 2006, 2010, 2012, 2014, 2018 by Richard L. Stevenson

All Rights Reserved. The *Open Office Writer* word count for all parts of this pamphlet is 13,036 words. The author grants permission to preview the online PDF version of the pamphlet found on the Common Sense II Political Reforms web site, or to make an unaltered hard copy for preview and review to encourage purchase of authorized eBook or print versions for sale online. Any such PDF copies are on loan for preview and can be shared by email to increase reading and sales. The author forbids reproduction or distribution of the pamphlet or any part thereof by any means for sale or for compensation of any kind without specific written permission or contract with the author. The author specifically reserves all rights of alteration, reproduction, broadcast and publishing to the author and his heirs and assigns.

OCCUPY: January 2012, Edited to honor Occupy Wall Street, Occupy Cincinnati, and occupy movements all over our nation and the world. I have written about the need to “occupy” corrupt bipartisan U.S. politics since 1996, about fifteen years. The 99% can change the world with work done on our computer, tablet and smart phone desktops. We can be safe and warm at home. No travel. No pepper spray. No discomfort. We can change the world together. Use the Internet and free IVA software at home in comfort. We can elect candidates who will represent the financial interests shared by 99.999% of our citizens. Not the 0.001 of 1%! Main Street — Not Wall Street Billionaires!

Doris “Granny D” Haddock: Photo and quote printed with permission. Thank you, Granny D!

Printed and assembled in the United States of America
\$9.95 U.S. Print Version (\$3.95 U.S. Digital Version)

A BIOGRAPHICAL PREFACE — WHY THIS PAMPHLET?

These are my own conclusions on the state of our political system as it appears to me at present. My overall conclusion after eighteen years of study is that we have a corrupt political system, which can be fixed by an informed proactive electorate within a few years. We are the 99%. We can have clean open elections.

In the winter after the 1996 elections, in which political reforms made no apparent gains, I wrote a two-page synopsis on what I thought was wrong. In the years since I have constantly revised my written evaluation, pausing to publish, by copier, fifteen 100-copy versions of this pamphlet. Copies have gone to members of congress, minor party leaders, friends, and family. I imagine my words have seeped through and added to minor party political discourse, especially in the Reform Party where I had long been active.

My concern is our ineffective *democratic republic*. Why does it not work? Problems persist through generations of career politicians. Explanations from numerous and varied sources have all seemed insufficient. Reasonable demands of our citizens for change have not been met.

I imagine the reluctance to use available solutions is due directly to excessive human greed. Our monopoly “two-party system” is bought with huge political contributions. In exchange, the two parties keep power in the hands of a tiny wealthy elite. Elections are staged to divert attention from the underlying bipartisan struggle for power. Voters are left out. “Liberal” and “conservative” name-calling is used to divide the electorate. Also, selected controversial issues are used to divide voters into hostile voting blocks to win elections without a need to make good public policy decisions.

Many problems remain unresolved after decades of neglect. The same problems remain that have been the basis of all the false promises made by generations of career politicians. If you feel isolated and devalued by government, you have been correct for many years. Something is wrong.

On the positive side, enough voters going to the polls would have the power to make all the changes needed to solve persistent problems. Already 60% to 80% of our people know there are many problems not being addressed by the bipartisan “two-party”

monopoly. Now, we need to have 60% to 80% go to the polls and elect populist and minor party candidates who will represent people, not corporations, unions, political parties, or any other special interest. We need to Occupy Elections and Congress.

We need to elect people who will open politics to the competition of ideas on issues and open debate on workable solutions. With only 10% of elected offices *occupied* by middle-of-the-road populist nonpartisan or minor party candidates, needed reforms will become possible.

A **Constitutional Amendment** can create a sustainable society based upon “democratic” small “r” republican ideals. For instance, an amendment is needed to establish the public policy that “**money is not political speech.**” The same is true to establish the public policy that “**corporations are not people**” entitled to the benefits of the bill of rights and to equal protection under the U.S. Constitution. We have a need to correct the late-1800s errors of the Supreme Court, which eroded and decreased the sovereign rights of every American citizen. Corporations are not citizens, neither good nor bad.

With our constitution we forever removed the divine right of Kings from our lives and left that *autocratic tyranny* in the Old World. We accurately located divine rights in the minds and hearts of our people, with protections in our Bill of Rights for freedom of religion and of speech.

In our time we need to dispose of the *autocratic tyranny* of corporations linked with *bipartisan* two-party politics. Our *unarmed revolution* will occur by winning ballot access for our *populist nonpartisan* voter majority. Our voters can then elect actual representatives for our people. The principle of *citizens as sovereign* can then become public policy in our everyday lives. In our time *political sovereignty* can be located in the hearts and minds of our people. We can occupy and win clean elections.

I am forever indebted to Jane Williams for early formatting advice, best friend Joanna Chappell for affirmation of my efforts to create a better world, Jean “Budd” my grammarian, many others too numerous to mention, and high school buddy James E. Reed for making me finally do the serious hard work needed to get this project ready to publish. I am lucky to have had so many talented good friends in my life. I dedicate my work to these talented friends, to my son Brad, and to my own dad.

— Revised March 2018 — Rich

Addressed to the
CITIZENS OF
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

On the following interesting

SUBJECTS:

- **A BIOGRAPHICAL PREFACE — WHY THIS PAMPHLET?** *i*
- **THE OVERDUE VOTER REVOLUTION** 01
- **THE PERCEPTION OF “RIGHT” AND “WRONG”** 05
- **THE NEED TO INCREASE VOTER CHOICES** 07
- **TERM LIMITS (INCUMBENT TURNOVER)** 08
- **CAMPAIGN FINANCE REFORM
(CAN’T VOTE, CAN’T CONTRIBUTE)** 11
- **LIMITATIONS ON LOBBYISTS
(INFORMATION ONLY)** 14
- **REFORMS FAVOR A MORE DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC** 16
- **MINIMUM NONTAXABLE EARNINGS** 18
- **THE LONG OVERDUE MAXIMUM WAGE** 21
- **THE DNC AND RNC 5
UNITED AGAINST THE WILL OF THE PEOPLE** 23
- **PEACEFUL CHANGE, NO D AND R VOTES** 25
- **POPULIST VOTER PLEDGE** Front inside and Rear Cover
- **FOOT NOTES (SOME BASIC DEFINITIONS)** 28
- **SOME OTHER WORTHWHILE REFORMS** 29
- **A “SOMETHING WRONG HERE” READING LIST** 30
- **THE BEGINNING** 31
- **PDF DIGITAL PREVIEW VERSION** Inside Rear Cover

Doris “Granny D” Haddock

This 91-year-old grandmother walked across our country coast to coast to call attention to the need for **CAMPAIGN FINANCE REFORM**. I was privileged to meet her and hear her speak at two Reform Party National Conventions and in Cincinnati, Ohio. I walked with her for a few hours on two separate days. If you would like the privilege of knowing a woman filled with love for our country and our people, you can find her at ...

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Granny_D

Please visit her above page. She is a true American hero. I love her and all that she represented. She lived to 100 years of age.

COMMON CAUSE provided assistance for her courageous coast-to-coast walk.



QUOTE: “Yes, it is a long road ahead. But what nation can look at their neighbors with such pride as can we? Who thinks they can stand in the way of our need to be free, to manage our own government, to be a force for good in the world, to protect our children and our land, to sweep away before us anyone who tries to turn our sacred institutions of civic freedom to their greedy purposes?”

“On the road so far, I have seen a great nation. I have felt it hugging my shoulders, shaking my hand, cheering from across the way. I am so in love with it.

“I know you are too.

“Thank you. Don’t argue ... friends — come together. Act in unity to save our dear democracy.

“Thank you all.” (1999 Reform Party Nat’l Convention)

GRANNY D, KEYNOTE SPEAKER, DEARBORN, MI

PROLOGUE

~ A TOO BRIEF TOUR OF HUMAN HISTORY ~

WORLD WIDE

0.001 OF 1% = 99%

WAS THE WAY
FROM THE BEGINNING

MALE-DOMINANT SOCIETY

**THE OLD POLITICAL PARADIGM
TOP DOWN**

“RIGHT VS. LEFT”
“ROYALS VS. COMMONERS”
“ADVERSARIAL”

THEN 1215 IN ENGLAND
GROWTH OF THE MIDDLE CLASS
THE PRINTING PRESS
THE SOCIAL CONTRACT
THE ENLIGHTENMENT
1776 CONSENT OF THE GOVERNED
THOMAS PAINE
THE BILL OF RIGHTS IN THE U.S. CONSTITUTION

**OCCUPY THE INTERNET
THE NEW POLITICAL PARADIGM
BOTTOM UP**

SOCIAL MEDIA
“WAY BEYOND GUTENBERG”
OCCUPY WALL STREET
OCCUPY THE POLITICAL PROCESS
EXPAND THE BILL OF RIGHTS: EQUAL POLITICAL SPEECH

0.001 OF 1% ≠ 99%

ANYWHERE

~ HUMANE AT LAST ~
LIBERTY AND JUSTICE FOR ALL

~ UP TO EVERYONE. TOGETHER. ~



THE TRUTH ABOUT VOTER APATHY — 66% DON'T VOTE! WHY?

“WE DIDN'T VOTE BECAUSE IT WON'T MAKE A DIFFERENCE!”

“TWO THIRDS OF ELIGIBLE VOTERS DON'T VOTE,” SAID NICK,
NOVEMBER 04, 2014, IN HIS EDITORIAL CARTOON OF THAT DATE.

SUPPORT NICK ANDERSON, BEST EDITORIAL CARTOONIST EVER!!!

FACEBOOK: <https://www.facebook.com/EditorialCartoons/>

BECOME A PATRON: <https://www.patreon.com/editorialcartoons>



Update on English usage for Merriam and/or Webster:

A recent concept or word in the English language:

elected, noun, both singular and plural, to mean a person or persons who are public office holders. The “elect” of the people. As in “the elected.”

Not the same meaning as the word “incumbent.”

Not found in current English dictionaries.

Not to be confused with the word “elect” meaning chosen by God.

THE OVERDUE VOTER REVOLUTION

Below is a quotation from *Common Sense*, the pamphlet that was published in 1776, which gained widespread support for our Revolutionary War more than 220 years ago:

(Many thanks to *Thomas Paine* for his wisdom and his title.)

“But as the colony increases, ... too inconvenient for all of them to meet on every occasion as at first, when their number was small, This will point out the convenience of their consenting to leave the legislative part to be managed by **a select number** chosen from the whole body, who are **supposed to have the same concerns at stake which those have who have appointed them, and who will act in the same manner as the whole body would act were they present** ” as the numbers increase “ augment the number of the representatives, ... , each part sending its proper number; and that the **elected** might never form to themselves an interest separate from the **electors**, prudence will point out the propriety of having elections often; because as the **elected** might by that means return and mix again with the general body of the **electors** in a few months, their fidelity to the public will be secured by the prudent reflexion of not making a rod ₁ for themselves. And as this frequent interchange will establish a common interest with every part of the community, they will mutually and naturally support each other, and on this (not the unmeaning name of **king**) ...” (Not the unmeaning name of **Foreign** or **Multinational** Corporations) ₂ “... depends the **strength of government, and the happiness of the governed.**” ₃

(The **bold Italics** above within quotes are mine.)

Interests of **electors (voters)** ₄ and **elected (legislators and executives)** have been in tranquil discord for decades. The *elect-ed*, usually bipartisan career politicians, live in a political culture that must oppose any change in our political process in order to retain power. The *elect-ed* enact laws solely intended to win over *likely voters*. ₄ With all public pronouncements to the contrary, the *elect-ed* share interests with “Multinational Corporations,” “Foreign interests,” and “very wealthy constituents.” The *elect-ed*

share few interests with all eligible voters, the middle class, or main street. The *elected* want to stay in power.

Interests of all human beings become what they do in their lives. We all, to a person, are what we do. The *elected*, to a person, spend over 50% of their time fund-raising, not governing as a stand-in for their *electors*. Under the current system the money comes from “Multinational Corporations” and other “Foreign” interests linked to the wealthiest 0.001 of 1% of all *electors*. Wealthy *electors* have *continuous access* through lobbyists, who are mostly members of wealthy legal firms. Many lobbyists were once political office holders who claimed to represent *elector* interests.

Lobbyists are a major deterrent to legislation drafted and passed in the interests of a majority of *electors*. Also, humans act on what occupies their minds. Re-election dominates the minds of “career incumbent politicians.” “*Voter access*” is every two to six years in the voting booth. The typical “representative” response to the average *voter* is a machine-signed form letter. Most *voters* give no campaign money. Follow the money.

Legislation is the item on the market, and the selling goes on day and night. Sales are about access to the market, and bought legislation. Campaign Contributions are the hook to gain access to the *elected*, and to ensure the inclination of the *elected* to pass legislation in the interests of their contributors. The result is, in fact, legislation written and produced to aid contributor interests. Legislation enacted in real conflict with majority *elector* interests and national interests is common.

The wealthiest 0.001 of 1% wage war against political reforms to retain nearly 100% market share of influence on legislation. Most public office holders are already wealthy or they want to be. Over 99% of voters have little access to the legislative marketplace. The marketing and sale of our legislative process is a reality on the local, state and national levels. The entrenched interests arrayed against *elector* interests and “the natural rights of man” are powerful. Multinational corporate “Money” has replaced the “King” as the enemy of our “natural heritage.” Never more pervas-

ive than today, corporate “Money” has ruled our system of government throughout most of our history!

Our territorial size no longer grows. Our population grows with thunderous speed. We must learn to share our resources more equitably with no new territories to populate. “Growth” is a two-edged sword. *Elected* public servants must learn to listen more closely to **our** huddled masses.

One purpose of this essay is to suggest creative solutions that the 99.999% could make into law if they were represented. Are there ways to provide significant Campaign Finance Reform, Incumbent Turnover (rather than Term Limits), and Strict Limits on Lobbyists to provide *Information Only*, without violating the bill of rights or the Constitution? The answer is no, but every one of these reforms can be enacted with Constitutional amendments on “corporate personhood” and “money as political speech” to secure “equal political speech” for the lower 99.999% of our citizens.*

Common sense indicates just about zero representation and access for most *electors*. A minimum of legislation, that appears to be favorable to the majority, is in place intended to satisfy a shrinking base of *elector (voter)* support. “Safety-net” legislation is protected to prevent any loss of political power. The moneyed elite, 0.001 of 1%, and the *elected* skillfully hide their bond of greed from easy view. The expensive crumbs of entitlement programs are not protected in a manner that best serves all *elector (voter)* interests and the interests of the nation.

The two corrupt parties that dominate our politics have no intention of giving up their power and influence. Therefore, *elected* legislators represent their parties first. Essential reforms can be enacted only due to direct pressure from a well-informed electorate. Voters must commit to *never electing* Democrats and Republicans (D's and R's). For now, D's and R's merely write unworkable or unconstitutional laws, which cannot pass, so they can loudly proclaim support for the various reforms wanted by an overwhelming majority of voters. With their consistent lies in plain view, can we expect D's and R's to pass needed reform laws? Can we avoid the ongoing breakdown of our free social and politic-

* see “Some Other Worthwhile Reforms” page 29

al institutions? Common sense must tell us that D's and R's, the entrenched political captives of the DNC and RNC 5, are not merely part of our problems. In fact, the *party interests* of D's and R's complicate and perpetuate all of our problems.

ON THE VARIETIES OF ELECTED CAREER INCUMBENTS: The purpose of **CONSERVATIVES** is to conserve the existing system, thus preserving their privileges and power along with those of their cronies. **LIBERALS** favor or tolerate purposeful change of the existing system to expand the power and privileges of their cronies who likewise reciprocate. **MODERATES** pursue any course that does not decrease their power and privileges or that of their cronies. Any differences are nearly imperceptible to common sense analysis.

Our strength, and stability, over our history, has been contingent upon the belief of the majority that our country has a representative government of the people, by the people, and for the people. Our growing instability proceeds from the reasonable conviction of a majority of our citizens that we no longer have such a government. The survival of our constitution depends upon immediate decisive reforms. We must strip money from the political equation so that the *elected* do not continue to sell our future and liberties to the highest bidder.

“RADICALS,” like Thomas Paine and me, think people have a “natural right” to substantially reform an existing corrupt system. You and I do have a civic and moral duty to one another. The existing system must be changed through *peaceful means*, or any means necessary, even armed revolution. Failure of our citizens to alter our present political system through their votes will lead to pursuit of the unthinkable latter course to regain the promises implied by our Declaration of Independence and the U.S. Constitution. The use of arms to force necessary reforms could occur within fifty short years. Without reforms our civic breakdown will probably occur within the lifetime of our youngest citizens.

The horror of *armed revolution* can and must be avoided. A majority must vote to make the DNC and RNC 5 give up monopoly power. The electorate has this *peaceful means* to gain meaningful political representation for all future generations. In order to gain

any significant change, the DNC and RNC monopoly must be given an unmistakable vote of no confidence. **SOLUTION:** Elect populist nonpartisan and minor party candidates. The *electors*, all of us, have a duty to return ballot access and representation to a majority of our citizens. Why do we tolerate our corrupt bipartisan two-party “*dictatorship?*”(Ralph Nader) 6

THE PERCEPTION OF “RIGHT” AND “WRONG”

“Perhaps the sentiments contained in the following pages, are not yet sufficiently fashionable to procure them general favor; a long habit of not thinking a thing **wrong**, gives it a superficial appearance of being **right**, and raises at first a formidable outcry in defence of custom. But the tumult soon subsides. Time makes more converts than reason” (Thomas Paine in 1776) 7

The *elected* have an easy time gaining acceptance for the “long habit” of our ineffective “representative” political system. The D's and R's can convince most of us that one or the other appear right. But more than mere appearance is needed to make our system right. The vested interests of the *elected* are in direct conflict with all needed reforms. And the *elected*, D's and R's, are the only ones who hold enough elective offices to enact *Reforms into Law*. How can any reforms take place? Common sense tells us reforms will not happen voluntarily. DNC and RNC campaign money buys elections and legislation to effectively prevent needed reforms.

Electors/voters 4 are also what they do. Time used to watch movies, TV, sports, and the Internet grows each day. The Mass media pulls most *electors* toward political inactivity in the 20th and new 21st centuries. Today, “celebrities,” “entertainment” and “sports” are discussed endlessly, *ad nauseam*.

Bipartisan politics is a huge con game. Nearly every possible voter considers political parties suspect and unworthy of serious consideration. “Truth” has many bipartisan versions. The *electors/voters* are disgusted. They contentedly occupy their lives with the daily concerns of work, family and religion. They ease the stresses of life, which are not insignificant, by pursuit of many worthwhile non-political activities.

Less than half of our citizens perform their only clear political duty. Registered voters, who actually vote, vote out of “long habit.” Their vote is their duty, their extent of the right thing required. Political activity is foreign to our lives. Our real interests are elsewhere, and we hope that “politicians” are right despite growing evidence to the contrary. Since there are no conclusive “right” solutions, at least half of *likely voters* vote for the “lesser of two evils,” and the rest of us *choose* to not vote or to not even register to vote. Also, most of us say, “I vote for the person, not the party.”

The wrong in our politics is legislation for the 0.001 of 1% without representation of the 99.999%. The 99% are bowling, gardening, reading, volunteering, going to school, working multiple jobs, and doing 100s of other worthwhile “pass time” activities calculated to realize the good life. What the *electors* do is *not* politics. The D's and R's distract *electors (voters)* ⁴ from political life in every way possible to maintain control. The DNC and RNC ⁵ monopoly depends upon “long habit” to make the *electors* unaware of anything wrong with the corrupt two-party system.

Voters ⁴ have historically colluded in the fantasy that all is right. Can all of the *electors* be fooled all of the time?

All of our citizens of all ages have always been assured that we live in a “representative democracy.” Periodically, small proportions of *voters* go to vote for the *elected*, who go away to live in a capital city. While there, the *elected* raise money to win the next election, so they can stay in the capital city to raise enough money to win the next succeeding election. Usually, the *elected* stay as long as they can. The *elected* occupy their time by taking money from, and talking to, lobbyists who are not concerned with *electors'* interests. *Electors'* interests are overlooked.

The *elected* talk of motivation to do public service. Have they stepped down from their already privileged place in life to serve others? The *elected* are also motivated to have a place for their name in history (ego), to guarantee their next job as a lobbyist (greed), to increase their wealth (greed), and to increase their personal power (ego). Unimpeded, *greed* and *ego* increase without limit. If allowed.

Initially the *elected* seem like ordinary people, human. Like everyone, they have weaknesses. *Voters* need to protect elected public office holders from their basic human greed for prestige and power. Our laws need reform to protect the *elected* from themselves and us from them.

The *elected* are too few in number to have so much power. On the national level, 535 members of Congress equal two ten-thousandths of one percent (0.0002%) of our 311,288,573* population. Are members of Congress so rare in ability? People with leadership abilities on the same level are probably a minimum of 1% to 2% of our population of registered voters. For instance, based upon approximately 115,000,000 registered voters nationwide, there would be 2,600 to 5,200 such leaders in each of 435 U.S. Congressional districts. (115,000,000/435 = 264,000 qualified registered voters in each district.) Decide for yourself. Why do we need to re-elect incumbent career politicians? Why?

Unbelievably, all incumbents are re-elected over 99% of the time they run for re-election? Do *incumbent* Congresspersons equally represent every voter in a district? Probably not, but if you think of the U.S. House as representing billionaires, the top 0.001 of 1% of American citizens by wealth, then each Congressperson works for very few voters. The *elected* do represent billionaires living outside of their Congressional Districts. Congress passes laws that benefit that tiny *chosen* peer group. With solutions always being highly debatable, laws are made to favor billionaires and corporate interests that supply the campaign contributions needed to win re-election. Real solutions favored by the public are ignored. Our fault. *Voters* re-elect their Congressperson.

0.0002% + 0.001 OF 1% ≠ OVER 50% REPRESENTED

THE NEED TO INCREASE VOTER CHOICES

The *electors*, unless they are among the tiny number of local D and R leaders, have little or no input in selecting candidates put on Primary Election ballots everywhere. Almost 100% of the *elect-ed* on every level were candidates approved of and selected by the DNC and RNC's monopoly. Many of the people elected possess

*05-04-11 U.S. Population Clock: <http://www.census.gov/popclock/>

the required greed. Unprincipled party loyalty, tenacity and egocentricity are needed to get enough money to win. Once elected, the *elected* tend to be jealous of the prerogatives gained by their extreme persistence. Monopoly two-party candidates usually win.

Low voter turnout proves elector wisdom. The *elected* first represent the DNC and RNC. Voters are poorly represented. Wisely, 85% of registered voters in one congressional district (OH 1) are “non-affiliated” on election reports. Populist nonpartisans are a super majority in every electoral district in the USA. Unaware of their numbers, most populist *electors* do not vote or continue to vote for undesirable DNC and RNC candidates. D's plus R's are less than 15% of registered voters in “OH 1.” What is the small percentage of D and R *likely voters* in your Congressional district?

Less than 25% of *age eligible electors* vote! One hundred million *electors* are not registered to vote. Since voters and non-voters remain uninvolved, the DNC and RNC select candidates who are public figures with one essential element of electability, positive name recognition. *Likely Voters* are offered a lineup of sports stars, entertainers, news broadcasters, space heroes, war heroes, and descendants of well-known politicians. “Everyone” knows them by name, so they are selected. Wisdom, broad knowledge, honesty, integrity and experience are not at or near the top of the list of necessary personal characteristics.

Can they get the votes? Will they doggedly pursue money and power? Almost any public figure will do who has not been caught and found guilty of a felony. Sometimes, judging from casual observation, it appears that most public office holders have not yet been caught or tried.

TERM LIMITS (INCUMBENT TURNOVER)

Frustration at being unrepresented by an aging incumbent power structure and widespread corruption are the reasons *electors* have passed term limit laws by huge majority votes in *every* state where the question has been on the ballot. With persistent efforts, we can draft constitutional laws that give American citizens the “turnover” desired. The Supreme Court says we cannot restrict the right of *electors* to choose. That point is well made.

One approach would be to limit the number of years an incumbent can campaign or have his/her name appear on any ballot. We could avoid restricting the *electors'* right to choose by allowing incumbents to be re-elected for an unlimited number of terms by write-in votes on the ballot. Would the strain be too great on boards of election? If not, limiting incumbent ballot access may be a solution that would satisfy legitimate concerns of the courts. Prohibiting incumbent "campaigning" after a limited number of terms may also satisfy the courts.

Ideological differences between D's and R's have all but disappeared. The competition for money has transformed our two political parties into powerful opponents of open clean multi-candidate elections. Even so D's and R's are still distinctive in the minds of most *likely voters*. Each *likely voter* has just one choice between one D ("liberal") and one R ("conservative") candidate on his/her ballot. With only those two choices actual *voters* are forced to vote their ideological needs even when the bipartisan monopoly keeps running the same career incumbents for a lifetime. What choice?

Political parties influence the voting behavior of incumbents who want to stay on the ballot or seek higher public office in future elections. D's and R's, under DNC and RNC ⁵ control, erect a wall between *electors* and open clean competitive elections. Our votes do not count. One candidate *selected* by the bipartisan monopoly wins in their primary, and then the general election. Each winner so *selected* follows the strict orders of *their* party.

Any vote for the "other Party" can only be a protest vote "against interest" of any elector. Gerrymandering, begun in 1812, allows D's and R's to divide control of electoral districts between them. They re-draw boundaries so a decisive majority of voters within each manipulated district are likely to vote either D or R. With *selection* of a partisan list of *likely voters* in a district, another wall of control is erected. Again, voters ⁴ are likely to choose one candidate *dictated* by the DNC and RNC monopoly. With the outcome of elections all but predetermined, why vote? Nearly all elections are fixed. Wall Street billionaires buy every election!

REFORMS FAVOR A *MORE DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC*: Bipartisan parties that run an incumbent candidate must be required to put a second candidate on the ballot. There would be less reason to gerrymander districts. Incumbents and the other candidates for public office would be forced to consider existing workable consensus solutions to public policy problems and divisive issues.

To invalidate the powerful effect of name recognition on voters the word “Incumbent” will appear on ballots, not the incumbent's name. Incumbents will have to consider the concerns of their *electors*, to serve majority interests, and to have meaningful dialog with actual human constituents. With huge “name recognition media campaigns” no longer decisive, the need for campaign money would decrease and incumbents could safely ignore strict party orders. **The huge 99% incumbent re-election rate based upon name recognition would no longer corrupt our elections.**

With reasonable reforms, only incumbents who serve public interests would be re-elected. Incumbents would survive only by serving the interests of their *electors* and the nation. The division of votes between more than two candidates would increase the election of populist nonpartisan and minor party candidates. With more candidate choices on every ballot, the *electors*' chances for representation would be greatly increased. Courts should have no legal grounds for disapproval. These reforms would provide competitive solutions that favor a *more democratic republic*.

The *elected* will not establish permanent residences or own “real estate” of any kind in the local areas where they go to serve. Violation of this provision will make the *elected* ineligible to serve. The *elected* could not reasonably be expected to truly represent their *electors*' interests with a home or other major financial interests removed from their *electors*' districts.

TO RECAP

- The name of incumbents will not appear on any ballot, but they can be re-elected by write-in votes. They may be barred from “campaigning.”

- And/or, the word “incumbent” will appear on the ballot along with a viable second candidate from the same Party. The voter gets two choices in the incumbent’s party and more choices in each populist nonpartisan and minor party candidate on the ballot. The other monopoly party may or may not place a weak token candidate on the ballot as usual.
- The *elector* has more choices so he/she can decide to vote an “undesirable” incumbent out of office. For the first time an *elector* has more real choices to vote an incumbent “out.” All *electors* will have more reason to vote.
- More choices guarantee better legislation in the interests of all *electors* and for preservation of our constitution.
- The *elected* will maintain his/her “financial home” in the electoral district represented, or he/she will become ineligible to serve. Candidates’ “financial homes” must be in their district.

NEXT, WE ADDRESS THE HEART OF THE REFORM PROBLEM: “Where’s the money?” The pot is always “right” in the political poker game. The rich and powerful 0.001 of 1% billionaires are in the game. In contrast, most other citizens, the 99.999%, are not even at the table.

CAMPAIGN FINANCE REFORM (CAN’T VOTE, CAN’T CONTRIBUTE)

All contributions to finance each election campaign will come directly from legally registered *electors* eligible to vote for the candidate or the issue. All contributions will be made to campaign committees by signed legal instruments matched with the signature on record with the “county election commission” where the elector is registered to vote. The total responsibility for the validity of each contribution belongs to the candidate and his/her campaign committee. The candidate is signed off as the *Legal Payee* on all instruments. If the candidate spends more money on his/her campaign than is allowed by law, the candidate would be barred from assuming or retaining office. Spending additional money as indicated in the 2nd bullet item below in “Recap” would subject the candidate to criminal prosecution. Can local financing raise enough money?

Each registered *elector* could contribute to all candidates or issues in each election in which he/she is eligible to vote. A \$100 contribution limit per candidate or issue seems reasonable. For instance, with 264,000 “registered voter” donors in a U.S. Congressional district, \$10 per “registered voter” (or \$100 from only 10% of registered voters) would exceed \$2.5 million (\$2,500,000). Is that enough money?

Unused campaign funds would be returned to the “county election commission” general fund to be applied to future election expenses in order to save tax dollars.

No money could be accepted from funds of any political party or PAC for use in any political campaign, local, state, or Federal. All “get out the vote money” would be illegal during elections. Any money controlled by a political Party used to pay for ads that portray the name or image of any candidate in a race would bar that Party’s candidate from assuming office or retaining office, if elected. In addition, the guilty Party and the media outlet would be assessed a fine of 1000% of the amount illegally spent. The runner-up candidate would then be the candidate elected to serve.

Soft money or PAC contributions to candidates would be totally banned. In order to avoid violation of the constitutional right to free speech there would be no limit on money that could be spent on issue ads that contain continuous and obvious identification of the *real* sponsor(s) of the ad. D's and R's and other political parties would obey this law.

Again, issue ads could not contain any portrayal of the names or images of any candidate in the current election or past related elections. Any ad broadcast in violation of the law would impose a fine of 1000% of the cost of the ad on each sponsor and on each media outlet. The amount of the fine would be multiplied by the number of times the ad was contracted to run. Again, any violation by a Party would bar that Party’s candidate from assuming office or retaining office, if elected. This is a workable grass roots solution. Only the *electors/voters* 4 could support candidates.

Participation in any political campaign fund-raising activities outside of the candidate's electoral district would be *prima facie* evidence of treason punishable by the loss of the right to run for any political office or to continue in office, if elected. Accepting funds from "Multinational Corporations" or other "Foreign" sources would be *prima facie* evidence of treason punishable by a maximum penalty of life in prison or even the death penalty. 8

Adoption of these rules for financing political campaigns would drastically reduce the power of the bipartisan DNC and RNC monopoly. The above reforms provide solutions that favor a *more democratic republic*. We actually could have representative government at all levels.

TO RECAP

- Campaign contributions can be accepted only from legally registered *electors* eligible to vote for the candidate or issue. Spending is strictly limited to elector contributions. No cash; contributions only by legal instruments bearing the legal signature of the *elector* for comparison with the signature on record with the "county election commission."
- Any candidate who spends any amount above the contributions legally collected, plus his/her own personal limit of contribution and the amount spelled out by criminal statute as constituting a "felony", would be subject to prosecution for a felony. If elected, he/she would be barred from assuming or retaining office. Votes for guilty candidates would become invalid in re-counts.
- All other fund-raising or contribution violations would be punishable by fines, denial of office, or criminal sentences to include the extreme of life in prison or death for treason.
- All persons convicted of a political campaign violation would be barred from ever seeking political office at any level of government. The guilty person would also be barred from becoming a local, state, or Federal government employee or appointee in any government branch on any level.

- Eliminating the influence of money on elections will support legislation passed in the best interests of all *electors* and will help preserve our constitution.
- There is no constitutional basis for a two-party political system. In actual fact, the long-standing monopoly of the DNC and RNC has legislated against our rights to petition our governments, and to free association and assembly, especially when related to political opposition to D's and R's.

LIMITATIONS ON LOBBYISTS (INFORMATION ONLY)

Washington, D.C., is the secular temple of our democratic republic and of the world's hopes for democracy and human rights. To honor our sacred trust to preserve the constitution, the bill of rights, and the principle of "one man, one vote," we must throw the money changers from the temple. The money changers in our political system are obviously the lobbyists and registered foreign agents operating with unlimited access to all elected legislators, executives and staff decision makers in our capitals. There are no consequential legal limits to their behavior or activities in our law. There are no appropriate penalties for their bribery and influence peddling. Instead, we allow their legislative interference. Any time an *elected* spends with lobbyists outside of committee hearing rooms is a fraud perpetrated against the *electors*. The capital city was established to serve every citizen.

"Fund-raiser" activities of any kind should be banned from Washington, D.C. Any citizen attempting to give illegal funds to an elected office holder, candidate, or any civil servant, or who offers future gain or employment to such persons would be justly tried and punished for treason. Any "Foreign" businessperson or foreign diplomat guilty of the same crimes should be declared *persona non grata*, and barred for their lifetime from ever re-entering the United States on any basis.

Any person who has been a Federal elected office holder, civil office holder, or congressional employee should be barred for their

lifetime from accepting financial compensation as a lobbyist on behalf of any entity, domestic or “Foreign.” Such persons could testify before congress to provide information relevant to legislation. Former Presidents, and all persons formerly in the executive branch and the judicial branch, should also be barred from paid lobbyist activities.

Even their unpaid lobby activities should be barred if there is the slightest appearance of any other conflict of interest.

The revolving door should be permanently barred and locked shut. No one has the right to run our government based upon favors from those in public office with whom they have had former associations. All of those corrupt influences represent unjust power over the lives of our nation and its citizens. Former insiders currently in lobbyist positions are traitors to our dreams of democracy within our constitutional republic.

Lobbyists who are registered foreign agents, or who represent “Multinational Corporations”, should be limited to contact with the relevant department in the executive branch of government, such as the commerce department. They should be *barred from access to or possible influence of* elected representatives and their staffs. The only official contact allowed should be limited to the rare instance when “Foreign” agents or “Multinational Corporations” are called upon to testify before Congress.

To RECAP *

- Close the revolving door completely and permanently. The approximately 200,000 lobbyists and influence peddlers in our national capital, Washington, D.C., are less than six ten-thousandths (0.0006) of our population of 311,288,573. ** This huge army of lobbyists supply undue influence that robs 99.999% of our *electors* of their legitimate share of political access and representation. ** See pg. 7
- Protecting elected politicians from temptation and freeing more of their time for work guarantees better legislation in the interests of all *electors* and for preservation of our constitution.

* Principles should also be applied to state and local governments.

REFORMS FAVOR A MORE DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

With all campaign money given by local eligible voters we would have grassroots politics with the loudest *voters'* voice in our history. Power in American politics would be centered in our local voting booths. The only role of the Federal Election Commission (FEC) would be overseeing Federal campaign funds and convention money for parties. The Commission on Presidential Debates (CPD) could still schedule some presidential debates. However, minor parties, the D and R parties, and populist nonpartisans, would control all debates equally. **All Presidential debates would include all candidates on enough state ballots to have a numerical chance to win the Electoral College.** Voter participation would be more than 70% of *age eligible voters* due to increased interest. Reforms favor a *more democratic republic*.

NATIONAL ELECTION HOLIDAY: To promote democracy, our elections must be our most honored national holiday. Our election holiday could last up to four days, with voting *Saturday through Monday*. The Polls could close at the same time in each time zone throughout the nation, all the way to the most Western time zone. On Tuesday at noon, with all the polls closed, the unofficial voting results could be released from the “local election commissions.” Polling results could not be legally released or broadcast before the Tuesday release of “local polling data.” The four day delay would promote political conversations with candidates on the local level during this most revered holiday. Our elections would, in fact, teach the civil values we claim with our words on other less meaningful holidays. Nearly all eligible citizens would participate.

All of the above reform ideas are thoughts derived from one elector ⁴ proactively focused on political reform since the 1980s. There is little doubt that many aspects of these ideas, and ideas not included, have been rejected by DNC and RNC “think tanks” fed by bipartisan political money. Their vested interests totally block any creative tendency. Filling in the details of reform depends upon motivation and determination. Many reform ideas have been given detailed thought. Many can be made workable. Numerous other ideas, to replace or add to the above, can achieve needed reforms and needed constitutional amendments. *

* see “Some Other Worthwhile Reforms” page 29

The American electorate can put these or other reforms into law only through continuous political participation. To cause meaningful reform, the DNC and RNC bipartisan two-party monopoly must be given an unmistakable vote of no confidence. Populist nonpartisan voters must never vote for D's and R's. The DNC and RNC will support reforms only if populist political candidates are elected to many public offices. Anger is pointless.

Anger and apathy are twin symptoms of extreme frustration. Disgust with our out-of-touch, scandal-ridden, Federal government for over forty plus years, has produced severe political apathy in 50% to 75% of our population. "Anger," though it barely showed its head in the 1992 Ross Perot vote, produced a record voter turnout. In 1996, "apathy" won. Voters, fragmented by "issues," did not elect a new President to defeat the corrupt two-party system. Voters lost an opportunity to *kick D's and R's out* of the highest public office.

"Seething anger" is still everywhere, disguised as apathy and expressed as disgust and frustration with the political monopoly. *Electors* lack any "voice." Militia groups, and violence against Federal employees and buildings, are symptoms of this fact. A small portion of the apathetic drifting toward anger commit violent acts. The drift toward anger, toward violent action, will continue, as more people find no answers to their perceived economic and social losses. We urgently need to make all Americans participants in the political process. More ballot choices and more ways to participate will give all *electors* a reason to hope for representation.

All of the above reforms would serve as a good start to remove corrupt money from politics. With the *elected* free of special interest influences, the *electors* would gain confidence in the political process. More important than improved confidence, the enactment of appropriate legislation would encourage the growth of a strong and prosperous middle-class.

The strength and stability of every known *democratic republic* is directly proportional to the total strength and size of

its middle-class. We could have an actual representative “government of the people, by the people, for the people” (Abraham Lincoln). A few basic legislative changes would encourage the pursuit of happiness and upward mobility to the middle class for persons stranded near the lower end of the economic ladder.

MINIMUM NONTAXABLE EARNINGS

By legislative definition, a “poor” person or family has to spend their entire earnings on basic necessities. Under whatever tax system we have, there should be *no income tax on earnings needed to buy basic necessities*. \$24,960 (\$12 per hour) is “working poor” for an adult with two children. 9 The basic 1996 Federal income tax for that family of three is \$1,714 on a taxable income of \$11,410. The amount left is \$23,246, \$1,937.17 per month for three people, with nothing deducted for Social Security tax (5.9%), Medicare tax, state tax, local tax, sales tax, health insurance (premiums, deductibles, and co-insurance), car insurance, education, retirement, savings, transportation, food, housing, and clothing. One person in Washington, D.C., President Bill Clinton, 1993 to 2001, declared \$12 per hour to be “high wages” even with the family classed as “working poor.” 9

It gets worse. Minimum wage, increased to \$4.75 in 1996 (to \$5.15 on 9-1-97) is \$9,880.00 in annual gross wages. For the single taxpayer, the 1996 Federal income tax owed was \$499.00. This leaves \$9,381.00, \$781.75 per month, with nothing deducted for the numerous items listed in the example in the above paragraph. There would be no Earned Income Credit (EIC) for the single taxpayer earning the minimum wage. However, the single parent filing as a head of household (\$5900 standard deduction) and claiming exemptions for one or two children would owe no tax and would receive EIC payments, \$2,152.00 (\$179.33 per month) for one child or \$3,556.00 (\$296.33 per month) for two children.

This nets a monthly income of \$1,002.67 with one child and \$1,119.67 with two children at the minimum wage level. This

is \$220.92(28%) or \$337.92(43%) more net income per month than the \$781.75 net income of the childless single taxpayer. Does the EIC make survival possible on the minimum wage? Could that encourage teen pregnancy for minimum wage teens who also want children? How desperate are the poor?

Job prospects are worse now because far fewer jobs include benefits. Where benefits exist, they usually require employee contributions. In fact, employers are attempting to totally avoid providing benefits by downsizing, and using as many part-time, temporary, and contract workers as possible. Falling “real wages” since the 1970s are further squeezed by the need for former *employees*, now redefined as *associates*, to purchase their own benefits or do without. Health care is too often obtained as public assistance at emergency rooms. Retirement may be limited to social security, which many people fear may not survive congressional cuts. Eligibility to retire on social security may be raised to the age of 80, which would assure no payments to anyone below that age. Many potential retirees will have died before that age.

Why can the *elected* not comprehend the dire circumstances of the poor? Perhaps the poor are seen as *irrelevant* because most of them do not vote or give campaign money. Perhaps our *career politicians* do not understand. All citizens are “constituents.”

Incorrect perception of today’s low wages as adequate may derive from the outdated viewpoint of older generations. Today’s “working poor wages” were “very good wages” in *number of dollars* back in the formative years of our middle-aged and older *career politicians*. They are not affected by wages reduced by inflation.

Most of the *elected* are older, affluent and have never experienced low wages, even long ago. They have no basis for judging the situation faced by the underemployed poor. There is little understanding of the hardship. In fact, people in power think any hardship that exists for “lazy” poor people could easily be escaped by good hard work. Should all the poor take two “high wage” jobs to get a little ahead for extras like health insurance? Could eighty hours per week cause unbearable fatigue and loss of a stable family life? Is this the “pursuit of happiness?”

A person can work very hard for subsistence wages. Betterment is not possible through taxed legal wages at “working poor” levels. Any “pursuit of happiness” requires entering the huge underground economy. The low wages are illegal, but taxes and other deductions can be kept. Illegal aliens are the competition. To avoid paying merely reasonable costs of doing business, employers pay needy U.S. citizens, or illegal aliens, under the table.

To step up from low wages in the illegal underground economy, the dangerous drug trade is available. The plentiful money and excitement tempt our young despite heavy negatives. So what? You may *already* be illegal, very desperate, and on the edge. Illegal drugs may allow survival on a higher level for as long as it lasts. “Till death do us part” has had a new meaning for the 1990s and beyond.

Before the Welfare Reform Act of 1996, the young female with children got some benefits allowed by her low minimum wage income. She may have had a better chance to avoid the illegal underground economy. However, with the loss of welfare benefits the same woman (or girl) may be forced into the huge underground economy, prostitution, or into the dangerous illegal drug trade.

In the interest of social stability, we need to rescue *our people* from the need to be criminals to survive. We could establish a *Minimum Nontaxable Earnings* level within which people have a chance to save and work hard to better themselves without government assistance. \$8 to \$10 per hour would be a good place to start. \$16,640 to \$20,800 would be tax-free income. The highest possible *nontaxable* income would be best. Dependent tax exemptions would not be allowed at any income level. Say “Good-bye” EIC? Love should be the main incentive to bring children into our overcrowded world, not the economic needs of potential parents.

The only *income tax return* necessary for personal or *business* incomes at the nontaxable level would contain one number, gross income, and one signature, the taxpayer’s, in the very simple form of an affidavit. Time spent, five minutes. Cost, nothing. No paid tax preparer necessary.

FAMILY INCOME: A couple living together with children would be entitled to 2.5 times the tax-free wages of a single person. This would encourage one parent to nurture the children at home. One or both parents could earn the tax-free family income. Married couples living together with children would be entitled to 3.0 times the tax-free earnings of a single person. This would encourage the social stability derived from the institution of marriage, and further enable one parent to nurture the children at home. One or both parents could earn the tax-free family income.

The incentive for “poor people” to better themselves would yield dramatic results. The encouragement of family life would dramatically reduce the social pathology seen today. The level of social pathology now predicted by our social scientists would be reduced. The work hours saved for productive pursuits by eliminating tax preparation would have an overall positive effect on the economy. The poor could have discretionary income for savings, education, and capital accumulation. Upward *social mobility* would again become a realistic possible choice for everyone.

THE LONG OVERDUE MAXIMUM WAGE

Consider “King of the hill,” the game in which kids fight to occupy the top of a dirt pile. Our usual leaders are “type A” controlling types who fight all their lives to be on the top of any dirt pile within reach. They search for higher dirt piles constantly. They never cease play. To be on top is an end in itself for political, labor, and business leaders.

“Top of the hill” wages are proportioned entirely too far above the lowest wages. *Top wages* are in “social status” competition with rubber-faced comedians who “earn” \$30 million per motion picture. In the mass-media world these are median wages. One hundred million dollars is paid to talk show hosts, entertainers, athletes, newscasters, and trial attorneys. Greedy agents continually push the wage envelope to unbelievable limits. Our corporations have become *inhumanely* gigantic also. Can this senseless “game” be stopped? The winners are few, the losers are many.

The bigger the corporation, the higher the dirt hill won. These “little boys” with the highest wages control society. Winning the

“game” is the ultimate end. Do the “Kings of the Hill” have values beyond that? Many of our children are misled to believe success is achieved only by earning a multimillion dollar annual salary. They desperately aspire to “make it” in entertainment, sports, or other means to “national level” fame and wealth. They overlook the spiritual wealth found in family and friends. Some would rather die than “grovel at the bottom.” What life can you make for yourself there? They fail to see beyond media-induced material values. Some misguided youths kill for status symbol “king of the hill” tennis shoes. Obviously, we must end the “game.” The “game” erodes our sense of community and self-worth.

Any maximum gross wage (MGW) should have no numerical limit, but should be aimed at being in reasonable proportion to the lowest wage paid in our society. The MGW could be no more than 100 times the annual wage derived from the minimum wage. At \$5.15 per hour the MGW would be \$1,071,000 per year. A \$1.00 increase in the minimum wage to \$6.15 per hour would boost the MGW to \$1,279,200 per year, an increase of \$208,200 per year. (\$1,508,000 per year at the 2011 min wage of \$7.25.)

TRICKLE UP: Our best and most creative minds would find ways to boost our lowest wage by training or by whatever means necessary. Increasing the bottom line for the wealthy would no longer be the only path to financial rewards. For once, increasing the stability and strength of the middle-class would have definite rewards for the “kings of the hill.” Their natural human greed would be harnessed to level the economic playing field and to bring our country the wealth inherent in having a robust middle-class. The minimum wage could double within ten years in terms of “real wages.” The people who build our middle-class would be well rewarded while saving our economic future. Their MGW could also double. The *trickle-up* ¹⁰ economic model does work!

Any amount of compensation over the MGW would be 100% taxable. ¹¹ Perhaps hourly and salaried personnel would have pay raises based upon the annual percentage increase in the minimum wage. MGW reductions in executive salaries, and *living*

wages tax breaks paid to employers, may provide more money to spend for research and development, or capital equipment. For sure, over 90% of U.S. wages will *not* be lowered by a MGW.

For anyone who would condemn these measures as *Social Engineering*, recall the “Declaration of Independence.” The King was offended. In essence, all legislation is *Social Engineering*. Perhaps our privileged class would let the people “eat cake” as suggested long ago by Marie Antoinette in prerevolutionary France.

The MGW would reduce the ability of “Multinational Corporations” to bribe overpaid executives to ignore their social conscience. Corporate “hatchet men”, females included, who sacrifice the American people for a healthy bottom line would have their excesses moderated.

Extremely high salaries at the top with a weak middle-class to anchor them represent a bubble of huge proportions. Inflated earnings, based upon world markets scaled beyond reasonable human control, produced much of the 1990s bubble and the recent housing bubble. A MGW could deter a similar feeding frenzy to help avoid future bubbles, recessions and depressions.

Bubbles, if they are bubbles, always pop.

All people have equal intrinsic value and are entitled to “the natural rights of man,” equality, justice, and a democratic republic.

THE DNC AND RNC 5 UNITED AGAINST THE WILL OF THE PEOPLE

The DNC and RNC both say in different ways, “limiting soft money contributions will disenfranchise thousands who want to participate in the election process.” If giving money indicates our participation, nearly all Americans are disenfranchised. The many Companies they work for, the unions they belong to, and other organizations presume to participate for *electors*. Usually *soft money* strengthens participation and increases access for special interest groups whose *vested interests* are already extremely well protected politically. The D's and R's turn a deaf ear to the public, and *voters*, who do not give money to election campaigns.

Majority voter participation would enfranchise more *electors*. The goal is active participation in our elections for each elector be-

fore the current generation votes in their last election. The goal is to secure equal representation in our electoral process for future generations. The goal is to preserve our constitution so it will continue to be the hope of the world for liberty and justice for all.

We must protect our political process from the influence of outside money. Money corrupts all human institutions through appeals to human greed. The only question is how much money buys how much influence? Money buys influence. This is merely a statement about human nature, not an accusation leveled against any individual or group.

Many of the *elected* are quick to say the American people need to force their representatives to pass reforms. The American people have screamed at the top of their lungs for term limits. Former Speaker of the House, Tom Foley, filed suit in the state of Washington against the will of the people for term limits. He lost his next bid for re-election in 1994.

Campaign finance reforms recently proposed and passed in congress are merely cosmetic. In twenty years we will have more scandals over the loopholes left in these laws as passed or proposed. Our elected legislators and executives are either incompetent or they have no will to enfranchise the American people. As we drift toward further anarchy or armed revolution, the DNC and RNC stubbornly support endless re-election of monopoly bipartisan D and R career incumbent candidates to maintain the power over legislation they sell to special interests for campaign money.

The silence in this country is deafening. “One hundred million (100,000,000), over 51%, of 196,000,000 age eligible citizens did not vote in 1996.” ¹² All populist voters have ideas and feelings about politics and government. They usually express disgust and spit in some general direction as they decline to waste any time on a meaningless discussion of corrupt bipartisan two-party monopoly politics. “The system is totally corrupt.”

Age eligible non-voters, 51%, will not contact their elected “representatives.” They will not vote in a system where their participation gains no representation. Ask them. Big money controls

the bipartisan DNC and RNC monopoly. The story does not end there. The tendency of the currently apathetic disenfranchised citizen to become “anti-social” is a threat to the overall stability of our society. Instability, if allowed to grow, threatens our domestic tranquility and the constitution.

The buck stops here for all public office holders. Each *elected* must reach deep inside and find the courage of a *statesman* there. Re-shape our political process and our society. Let us live in a country where the *elected* “have the same concerns at stake which those have who have appointed them, and who will act in the same manner as the whole body would act were they present” (Thomas Paine). 13

PEACEFUL CHANGE, NO D AND R VOTES

In truth, the possibility of reform is up to a newly proactive electorate. Populist unorganized voters and non-voters are the only hope for peaceful preservation of the constitution. Voting D's and R's out of public office and *ballot access petitions* are means already open to *electors* to build a *more democratic republic*.

To take our country back from the bipartisan DNC and RNC monopoly, we need an intentional populist political mass movement to elect *populist nonpartisan* and minor party candidates who will represent all of us. Every constituent! 100%!

SHARE THE POPULIST VOTER PLEDGE. (See the inside front and rear cover.) Fill out and sign the voter pledge. Make the commitment to yourself to speak to people who surround your everyday life. Express your widely held mistrust of our *monopoly bipartisan D and R election system*. With your vote and voice our *populist nonpartisan* 80% voter majority can elect populist and minor party candidates to public office to take the legislative and executive majority away from D's and R's. Public policy can then be made to fulfill the public interests of our people.

Be proactive as a populist petition circulator and as an Election Day participant. Elect populist candidates. Occupy elections.

0.001 OF 1% ≠ 99.999%

Make today the last day of your political inactivity. Join the *populist nonpartisan* ballot access movement. Be the cause of a *democratic republic* worthy of our highest political ideals. We still have a *democratic republic*, if we can keep it. We can.

Don't fight the system. Be the system. Occupy elections for the 99.999%. Play fair. Populists have a huge 80% voter majority. The centuries old left versus right political paradigm can come to an end with our proactive votes. The new political paradigm will be based upon populist nonpartisan ballot access and *equal political speech** under the law for every living citizen. The Founders' promise can be kept.

0.001 OF 1% ≠ 99.999%

We need an intentional political mass movement.

We are the 99.999%. Occupy elections.

Main Street – Not Wall Street Billionaires.

No one can do it alone. It is up to you. So it is now, and always will be. Change is up to everyone. Together.

Without your participation, a Voter Revolution is not possible.

* See pages 29 and 31, "The Equal Political Speech Amendment."

http://cs2pr.us/28th_AmendText.html

* Overview of history. **PROLOGUE**, a page of connotation. Second page after the contents page.

IS POOR VOTER TURNOUT THE BASIS FOR OUR LACK OF DEMOCRACY?

Curtis Gans studied turnout and voting patterns for more than three decades. He co-founded and was director of the **Center for the Study of the American Electorate**, formerly housed at [American University](#) in Washington, D. C. Gans was commonly sought out by major American publications as an expert on voting patterns and was sometimes called on by the [U.S. State Department's](#) Foreign Press Center to brief foreign reporters during the runup to American elections.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Curtis_Gans

LINKED IN FOOTNOTE 12, PAGE 28.

It seems to me that Curtis Gans exposed the lack of participation in our elections that gave rise to unrepresentative governments unfavorable to the 99.999%. We live under public policies bought and dictated by the financial interests of Wall Street Billionaires, the 0.001 of 1.0%. Mr. Gans exposed the undemocratic nature of our two-party controlled elections.



THE TRUTH ABOUT VOTER APATHY — 66% DON'T VOTE! WHY?

“WE DIDN'T VOTE BECAUSE IT WON'T MAKE A DIFFERENCE!”

“TWO THIRDS OF ELIGIBLE VOTERS DON'T VOTE,” SAID NICK, NOVEMBER 04, 2014, IN HIS EDITORIAL CARTOON OF THAT DATE.

SUPPORT NICK ANDERSON, BEST EDITORIAL CARTOONIST EVER!!!

FACEBOOK: <https://www.facebook.com/EditorialCartoons/>

BECOME A PATRON: <https://www.patreon.com/editorialcartoons>



FOOT NOTES (SOME BASIC DEFINITIONS)

1. "Making a rod" meant owning or buying land, or "making a home."
2. Contributions from "*Multinational Corporations*" are just as corrupt as contributions from "*foreign*" interests or governments. Corporate interests are *also* not the interests of *electors* (*voters*). 4 "**Inc.'s**" are **now** our "**Kings**". *We permit their political tyranny.*
3. Thomas Paine, *Common Sense* (Penguin Classics, 1986), p. 67.
4. **Electors**: Every age eligible **human** constituent, citizen, within an electoral district. **Voters**: The media manipulated citizen minority that participates in **DNC and RNC** 5 controlled elections. *Likely voters* are a very small minority.
5. Democratic National Committee and Republican National Committee. **DNC** and **RNC** bureaucrats select all **D** and **R** candidates; the career incumbent "two-party system" is the "dictatorship."
6. Ralph Nader, Independent Presidential Candidate and champion of civil justice. His efforts helped to expand consumer rights and worker safety rights for our people.
7. *op cit*, *Common Sense*, p. 63.
8. The death penalty for treason/desertion during war is accepted as reasonable punishment due to mortal danger to other troops and the nation. Treason in the economic wars of today imposes the danger of recession/depression that can ruin the lives of every citizen and the nation. The highest punishment for economic treason should be reasonably severe.
9. Barlett, Donald L. and Steele, James B., *America: Who Stole the Dream?* (Andrews and McMeel, 1996), p. 112.
10. Henry Ford: cs2pr.us/hamco/Leader1_bio.html#Trickle
11. We could revive the 91% Federal income tax bracket of the 1950s for wages above the MGW. Progression within the taxable wage range, minimum nontaxable to MGW, could stay at current levels or be adjusted.
12. Curtis Gans, Director, Committee for the Study of the American Electorate ([CSAE](#)). Mr. Gans' committee has been the recognized authority on electoral statistics and demographics.
13. *op cit*, *Common Sense*, p. 67.

SOME OTHER WORTHWHILE REFORMS, REF PAGES 3 & 16

- Equal Political Speech Amendment: End corporate personhood and money as political speech, http://cs2pr.us/28th_AmendText.html .
- “Instant Runoff Voting” * powerfully increases voter choice. Each voter casts a vote for each candidate on their ballot, ranking their choices by number. Your vote for a populist or minor party candidate would no longer be a “wasted vote.” Your first choice, or other choice, could win as one of the two candidates in the final instant runoff. Ranking all candidates gives you a vote on every candidate on your ballot. You would have more than one vote to decide who is elected to represent you. The winner always has a majority of votes.

*John Anderson, 1980 Independent Presidential Candidate

- Finance campaigns with public matching funds for small contributions. Match very small contributions with several times the amount contributed. Give no matching funds for large contributions over \$100 or \$500. Level the playing field.
- Require all election days to be paid legal holidays. This would allow all people ample unhurried time to vote. This would also make *same day voter registration* easier to accomplish.
- Tailor FCC radio broadcast licenses to fit the size of electoral districts. Eliminate the high cost of ads covering areas larger than the candidate’s electoral district. Level the playing field and promote political competition in all elections.
- Make corporate ownership of patents and intellectual property illegal. Make technical patents last as long as artistic and intellectual copyrights. Make individual persons the exclusive owners of patents and copyrights.
- Fully fund all undergraduate tuition for colleges, universities, and accredited technical schools. The huge social benefits would cost a mere “\$25 billion.” 6 Reduce the \$350 billion corporate welfare budget to pay the cost.
- Fully fund public radio and television services. Corporations have full control of all commercial broadcast and cable outlets. Foundations partially finance public TV and Radio, and corporations are moving toward complete control. We need a reliable public “Radio Free America,” to counteract corporate propaganda and content control of all media outlets.

Other Reforms: <http://cs2pr.us/hamco/usaiva/initiatives.html> !

A “SOMETHING WRONG HERE” READING LIST

- Ben H. Bagdikian, *The Media Monopoly*, Fourth Edition, Beacon Press, Boston, 1992, 1st edition 1983
Discusses in detail the control of the media by fewer and fewer owners. Censorship and information control by media owners is common. A source book relating to the decline of journalistic freedom and expression!
- Ralph Nader and Wesley J. Smith, *No Contest, Corporate Lawyers and the Perversion of Justice in America*, Random House, New York, 1996
Confirms your belief that “something is deeply wrong” with our legal system, which has all but abandoned the ideal of providing every American access to justice. Corporate lawyers routinely nullify, misuse or break the law.
- Donald L. Bartlett and James B. Steele, *America: What Went Wrong?*, Andrews and McMeel, 1992
The dismantling of the middle class by moving jobs out of the country, by increased tax burdens, and by corporate purchase of the political process. Tax policies push our nation toward a two-class society, rich and poor.
- Donald L. Bartlett and James B. Steele, *America: Who Really Pays the Taxes?*, A Touchstone Book, Simon & Schuster, New York, 1994
More about the tax war you lost and the corporations won. Suggests ways to fix it, if we demand the necessary changes.
- Michele Mitchell, *A New Kind of Party Animal, How the Young Are Tearing Up the American Political Landscape*, Simon & Schuster, New York 1998
Generation X’ers are actively involved in volunteer work to help others and have no interest in political parties. They vote on issues and for candidates who believe in their issues.
- Bernie Sanders with Huck Gutman, *Outsider in the House*, Verso, London and New York, 1997
How one independent candidate from Vermont persisted and won elections to represent his people as mayor of Burlington and in the U.S. House of Representatives. There are only one or two independents in the U.S. House.
- Robert Roth, *A Reason to Vote, Breaking the Two-Party Stranglehold*, St. Martin’s Griffin, New York, 1999
How integrity in new political leaders, working to solve problems based on what works, can give you a reason to vote. We can have government based on your interests, not special interests. We can have a democratic republic.
- Doris Haddock with Dennis Burke, *Granny D, Walking Across America in My Ninetieth Year*, Villard, New York, 2001
How to have a purpose in your life, and friends: Give yourself away.
- Ralph Nader, *Crashing the Party, Taking on The Corporate Government in an Age of Surrender*, St. Martin’s Press, New York, 2002
How to tell the truth and still run for President: The 2000 Nader campaign.
- **CURRENT “WHAT’S WRONG HERE READING LIST” WEB PAGE:**
http://cs2pr.us/Reading_List.html Reading Updates!

THE BEGINNING

PRACTICE PROACTIVE CITIZENSHIP*

* **THE INDEPENDENT VOTERS ALLIANCE (IVA)** is one good place to become involved in your political future.

Read First: <http://cs2pr.us/ReadFirst.html>

Meet the IVA: http://cs2pr.us/IVA_Opinion/MeetTheIVA.html

Ballot Access: <http://cs2pr.us/hamco/usaiva/Certify.html>

Your Leadership: <http://cs2pr.us/hamco/usaiva/Leader.html>

Headquarters: <http://cs2pr.us/hamco/usaiva/IVAlocals.html>

USA Home Page: <http://cs2pr.us/hamco/usaiva>

State (OH) Home Page: <http://cs2pr.us/hamco/ohiva>

County Home Page: <http://cs2pr.us/hamco> (Hamilton County)

Community Home Page: <http://cs2pr.us/clifuc> (Clifton ~ UC)

Precinct Home Page: <http://cs2pr.us/cin21d> (Cincinnati 21-D)

Web Page Manager: http://cs2pr.us/hamco/Leader1_bio.html

Facebook IVA: <https://www.facebook.com/TheIVALEader>

Free Political Tools: <http://cs2pr.us/FreeTools.html>

Occupy Elections: Elect 99.999% Candidates
http://cs2pr.us/Populist_Pledge.html

Term Limit the U.S. Congress:
Throw the Hypocritical Rascals Out (THRO)
<http://cs2pr.us/THRO/help.html>

Move to Amend
The Equal Political Speech Amendment *
http://cs2pr.us/28th_AmendText.html#Voice

* Pages 26, 29 and **PROLOGUE**.

**POPULIST NONPARTISAN CANDIDATES
YOU LIKE**

MINOR PARTY CANDIDATES YOU LIKE

YOUR LIST OF WORTHWHILE REFORMS
CREATE THE FUTURE
CONSIDER THE NEXT SEVEN GENERATIONS

THE OVERDUE VOTER REVOLUTION pamphlet for your friends, family, church group, political interest group, and community:

Read between the lines to find your own level of disquiet. If you deem it suitable, promote the long overdue *voter revolution*. Give our children the gift of a humane and democratic republic. We can return to the ideals of our founders. We can perpetuate the principles of our Declaration of Independence and of our U.S. Constitution. Representative government is fragile. As Thomas Jefferson said, a democracy needs a revolution every ten years to stay healthy. Many changes have occurred over the years, some major, even revolutionary, but none have eliminated the selection of all legislative and executive candidates through “primaries dictated by wealth and the two-party system.” Ballot access reforms are 220 years overdue.*

Social justice demands equal ballot access to the political process and representation of every *elector (voter)*. 4

* Winger, Richard, Expert Source, **Ballot Access News**, published continuously since 1988. (<http://www.ballot-access.org/>)

MORE INFORMATION:

COMMON SENSE II POLITICAL REFORMS

P.O. Box 123

Hillview, KY 40129-0123

EMAIL: [cs2 at cs2pr.us](mailto:cs2@cs2pr.us)

CS II REFORMS: <http://cs2pr.us>

Digital copier preview version (8.5" X 11") glossy cover pamphlets; Per copy donation including shipping shown below:

One copy \$10.00; **Two** copies \$18.00 @ \$9.00 each

Allow 28 days for delivery by the U.S. Post Office. Personal checks must clear before shipment. Make your **check** or **money order** payable to **COMMON SENSE II POLITICAL REFORMS**, address above. Include name, complete address, and contact information (telephone, social media, and E-mail). Please make it legible. Thank you.

To support **COMMON SENSE II POLITICAL REFORMS** you can choose to make a direct on-line donation, with or without a request for preview pamphlets:

[PayPal cash donation \(http://cs2pr.us/Support.html\)](http://cs2pr.us/Support.html)

Your support of **one dollar** or more is welcome. With your help I can write for and promote the populist ballot access movement full time. You can have your populist voice heard. Be a politically proactive populist citizen.

PREVIEW: *The Overdue Voter Revolution* (Adobe PDF file):

Share the preview web page link with everyone you know. Create the future.

<http://cs2pr.us/VotRevPamphlet.html>

PDF File: Less than 540kb. Print a preview copy, *Fit to Page*, on 8½ X 11 in. paper. Cover: Print on glossy bright white 80# paper. **Read it! Review it! Pass it on!**

Share the free preview pdf: <http://cs2pr.us/VotRevPam.pdf>

Buy the eBook online: <http://www.amazon.com/dp/B07DS1W5H1>

POPULIST VOTER PLEDGE

I, _____ **PLEASE PRINT** _____, the undersigned **citizen** of the United States of America, do pledge with my fellow citizens to legally register and vote in all local, state, and national elections for the rest of my life. I consider the bipartisan power struggle between Democrats (**DNC**) and Republicans (**RNC**) a threat to the freedoms gained by the Declaration of Independence and later defined in the **Bill of Rights** under the Constitution of the United States of America. Special interest money donations to the **DNC and RNC** two-party monopoly rob our citizens of equal political representation within legislative bodies and executive branches at all levels of government. I must withdraw wholehearted support from all Democratic Party and Republican party establishment candidates. I pledge to help elect qualified **populist** non-partisan and minor party candidates to every local, state, and national public office in order to provide equal representation under the law to all living natural citizens. Fire establishment bipartisan incumbents. **OCCUPY ELECTIONS AND CONGRESS.**

My legal residence, Address _____, City _____, State _____, qualifies me to vote in precinct # _____, in _____ (City, Village, or Township) in the State of _____.

My pledge signed this _____ day of _____, _____, at (City) _____, (State) _____.

My Signature _____

Share this pledge. We need new political leaders free of party control. We need to circulate ballot access petitions to put more **populist** nonpartisan and minor party candidates on every ballot. Be proactive as a ballot access petition circulator and voter. Join other voters who sign the pledge. **Give journalists and your representatives a hard copy or eFile of your signed pledge.** We will vote incumbents out of office and elect new people who represent natural persons, not political parties or powerful special interests. We can have liberty and justice for all. **YOUR PLEDGE: <http://cs2pr.us/Populist Pledge.pdf>**

COMMENTS TO: COMMON SENSE II POLITICAL REFORMS
P.O. Box 123
Hillview, KY 40129-0123
EMAIL: cs2@cs2pr.us
CS II REFORMS: <http://cs2pr.us>